Modal and dynamic explicit analyses with the latest tetrahedral smoothed finite element method

<u>Yuki ONISHI</u> (Tokyo Institute of Technology)







What is S-FEM?

- *Smoothed* finite element method (S-FEM) is a relatively new FE formulation proposed by Prof. G. R. Liu in 2006.
- S-FEM is one of the **strain smoothing** techniques.
- There are several types of classical S-FEMs depending on the domains of strain smoothing.
- For example in a 2D triangular mesh:



What are the major benefits of S-FEM?

- Super-linear mesh convergence rate. (Almost same rate as 2nd-order elements with T4 mesh.)
- 2. Shear locking free with ES-FEM. (Excellent accuracy with T4 mesh.)

T4: 4-node Tetrahedra

3. Little accuracy loss with skewed meshes. (No problem with complex geometry.)

S-FEM is a powerful method suitable for practical industrial applications.





How popular is S-FEM?

Number of journal papers whose title contains



The attraction of S-FEM is expanding continuously.



P. 4 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Applications of S-FEMs in Our Lab

Solid mechanics (still in academic)

Tokyo Institute of Technology



IUTAM Symp. 2021

Motivation

What we want to do:

- Solve severe large deformation analyses accurately and robustly.
- Treat complex geometries with tetrahedral meshes.



Consider nearly incompressible materials ($\nu \simeq 0.5$).

P. 6

IUTAM Symp. 2021

- Support contact problems.
- Handle auto re-meshing.



kvo Institute of Techno





Issues (e.g., barreling analysis of rubber cylinder)



東京工業大学 Tokyo Institute of Technology **P. 7** IUTAM Symp. 2021



Our Approach (e.g., barreling analysis of rubber cylinder)



Objective

- 1. Development of a dynamic version of SelectiveCS-FEM-T10
- 2. Evaluation of its accuracy and robustness in severe large deformation dynamic analyses.

Table of Body Contents

- Methods: Formulation of SelectiveCS-FEM-T10
- Results: Demonstrations of SelectiveCS-FEM-T10
- Summary





Methods: Formulation of SelectiveCS-FEM-T10



P. 10 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Concepts of SelectiveCS-FEM-T10

Using T10 element and subdivide it into T4 sub-elements.

 \Rightarrow Overcomes the drawbacks of intermediate nodes.

 Adopting intra-element ES-FEM (a kind of CS-FEM) having no strain smoothing across multiple elements.
 ⇒ Becomes an independent element of existing FE codes.

■ Applying selective reduced integration (SRI).
⇒ Overcomes volumetric locking.



IUTAM Symp. 2021





Brief Formulation of ES-FEM

Let us consider two 3-node triangular elements in 2D for simplicity.

- Calculate [B] (= dN/dx) at each element as usual.
- Distribute each [B] to the connecting edge with an area weight and build [Edge B].
- Calculate deformation gradient (F), Cauchy stress (σ) and nodal internal force {f^{int}} in each edge smoothing domain.



Flowchart of SelectiveCS-FEM-T10

Explanation in 2D (6-node triangular element) for simplicity



(3) Vol. strain smoothing with all sub-elements

IUTAM Symp. 2021

Tokyo Tech



T10 Element Subdivision in 3D

Radial subdivision (30% shrunk mesh)

There are 16 T4 sub-elements in total.

Strain on all 34 edges are smoothed by ES-FEM.



P. 14 IUTAM Symp. 2021 Sub-elements have a little larger skewness.

but skewness is not a big issue for ES-FEM.



Building Lumped Mass Matrix

1. Calculate the mass of each sub-element.

Distribute it to composing 4 nodes.

(3 nodes in 2D.)

The mass of the dummy node is distributed to the connecting 6 mid-nodes.

(3 mid-nodes in 2D.)

IUTAM Symp. 2021





Results: Demonstration of SelectiveCS-FEM-T10



P. 16 IUTAM Symp. 2021





- Soft material: Neo-hookean, $E_{ini} = 6$ GPa, $v_{ini} = 0.49$.
- Hard material: Neo-hookean, $E_{ini} = 260$ GPa, $\nu_{ini} = 0.3$.
- Discretized into T10 mesh. (about 11,000 nodes and 7,000 elements)
- Compared to ABAQUS C3D10MH, the best T10 element of ABAQUS, with the same mesh.



P. 17 IUTAM Symp. 2021





Step: Step-1 Frame: 0 Total Time: 0.000000

> Convergence failure at 69% nominal stretch (short lasting)



P. 18 IUTAM Symp. 2021



| <u>Result of</u> | |
|-------------------|--|
| <u>Selective</u> | |
| <u>CS-FEM-T10</u> | |
| <u>with</u> | |
| <u>pressure</u> | |
| contour | |

Pressure



Convergence failure at 166% nominal stretch (long lasting)



P. 19 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Comparison of pressure dist. at 60% nominal stretch





P. 20 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Comparison of Mises stress dist. at 60% nominal stretch



SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 has an issue of Mises stress oscillation, which should be resolved in the future.



P. 21 IUTAM Symp. 2021



<u>Comparison of history of u_x at the bottom corner</u>



SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 has enough accuracy in displacement (and force, also) in addition to large deformation robustness.



P. 22 IUTAM Symp. 2021



▲X

Eigen Mode **Deformation Modes of Armadillo**

<u>Outline</u>

- Rubber body.
 (Young's modulus: 5MPa, Poisson's ratio: 0.49)
- Discretized in T10 mesh.
 (about 80,000 nodes and 52,000 elements)
- Both soles of the feet are perfectly constrained.
- Modal analysis up to 40 eigen modes. (This is not a large deformation analysis.)









Deformation Modes of Armadillo

Eigen modes up to Mode 40 with SelectiveCS-FEM-T10



There are no unnatural modes.

SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 has no spurious low-energy modes like hour-glass modes.







Mode Deformation Modes of Armadillo

Comparison of eigen frequencies

Tokvo Institute of Technoloav



SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 has practical accuracy in modal analyses as ABAQUS C3D10MH; therefore, SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 would be stable in dynamic analyses.

> **P. 25** IUTAM Symp. 2021



Dynamic Explicit Swing of Bunny Ears



- Iron ears: Neo-Hookean, $E_{ini} = 200 \text{ GPa}$, $\nu_{ini} = 0.3$, $\rho = 7800 \text{ kg/m}^3$.
- **Rubber body: Neo-Hookean,** $E_{ini} = 6 \text{ MPa}$, $\nu_{ini} = 0.49$, $\rho = 920 \text{ kg/m}^3$.

P. 26

IUTAM Symp. 2021

- Discretized into T10 mesh. (about 61,000 nodes and 41,000 elements)
- Compared to ABAQUS/Explicit C3D10M (NOT C3D10MH) with the same mesh and Δt .

```
Contact is not considered.
```

Tokyo Institute of Technology



Explicit Swing of Bunny Ears

Comparison of Mises stress animation



SelectiveCS-FEM-T10

ABAQUS C3D10M

SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 has similar accuracy in displacement and Mises stress to ABAQUS C3D10M.



P. 27 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Dynamic Explicit Swing of Bunny Ears

Comparison of pressure sign at t = 0.4 ms (right after the stat)



SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 seems to calculate the initial pressure wave propagation more correctly than ABAQUS C3D10M.



P. 28 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Dynamic Explicit Swing of Bunny Ears

<u>Timestep-history of total energy (= kinetic + strain)</u>



SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 has enough energetic stability in dynamic analysis.



P. 29 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Summary



P. 30 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Summary

<u>Summary</u>

- A new S-FEM was proposed, which is called SelectiveCS-FEM-T10:
 - More robust to severe large deformation than the conventional T10s.
 - Enough accuracy for practical use as compared to ABAQUS's best T10.
 - Slower than conventional T10s only in dynamic explicit analysis.
- More severe large deformation dynamic analyses should be performed for evaluation.

<u> Take-home message</u>

If you are interested in large deformation analysis,

please consider implementing SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 to your FE code. It's supremely useful & easy to code!!

Thank you for your kind attention!





Appendix



P. 32 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Computational Cost

■ In static, modal, dynamic implicit analyses:

- CPU time: almost the same as the standard T10.
 - : Time to solve the matrix equation (i.e., $[K]{u} = {f}$) dominates the CPU time.
- Memory size: several times larger than the standard T10.
 - Memory to store F and σ at Gauss points occupies a main part of the memory size.
 <u>SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 has 34 edges</u>. Standard T10 has 4 Gauss Points.
- In dynamic explicit analysis:
 - CPU time: several times longer than the standard T10.
 Time to build internal force vector {f^{int}} occupies a main part of the CPU time.
 - Memory size: several times larger than the standard T10.
 - Same reason above. Trade-off between robustness and costs



P. 33 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Explicit Wave Propagation in a Long Bar



■ 10 m × 1 m × 1 m.

Tokyo Institute of Technology

- Neo-Hookean, $E_{ini} = 1$ MPa, $\nu_{ini} = 0.49$, $\rho = 920$ kg/m³.
- Lateral confinement on the sidewalls.
- Discretized into T10 mesh. (about 4,000 nodes and 2,000 elements)

34

IUTAM Symp. 2021

Tokyo Tech

Compared to analytical solution.

Dynamic Explicit Wave Propagation in a Long Bar

Animation of pressure



Time: 0.018 (s)

SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 seem to has good pressure accuracy.



P. 35 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Explicit Wave Propagation in a Long Bar

Animation of Mises stress



Time: 0.018 (s)

We need more careful investigation.



P. 36 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Explicit Wave Propagation in a Long Bar

Time-history of displacement at the right end



SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 has enough accuracy in 1D pressure wave propagation analysis.



P. 37 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Dynamic Explicit Dynamic Bending of Cantilever



- Neo-Hookean, $E_{ini} = 6.0$ MPa, $v_{ini} = 0.49$, $\rho = 920$ kg/m³
- Initial velocity: $v_z = -5$ m/s for all nodes of cantilever
- Discretized into T10 mesh. (about 4,000 nodes and 2,000 elements)
- Compared to ABAQUS/Explicit C3D10M (NOT C3D10MH) with the same mesh and Δt (= 0.1 ms).



P. 38 IUTAM Symp. 2021



Dynamic Explicit Dynamic Bending of Cantilever

Comparison of animation of Mises stress



Dynamic Explicit Dynamic Bending of Cantilever

Comparison of animation of pressure





SelectiveCS-FEM-T10 has similar accuracy in displacement to ABAQUS C3D10M.



P. 41 IUTAM Symp. <u>2021</u>

